

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05474 042038Z

62/47

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /031 W

----- 003967

P 041620Z OCT 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 7994

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 4456

USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA

USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USDEL MBFR VIENNA PRIORITY

S E C R E T USNATO 5474

EXDIS

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y -- PARA TWO --

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, UK, PARM

SUBJECT: CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

REF: (A) USNATO 5399 (B) STATE 214661

SUMMARY: AT OCTOBER 3 MEETING, SPC HELD FIRST DISCUSSION OF U.S. PROPOSAL TO STUDY CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE. ALTHOUGH WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS FROM GOVERNMENTS, ALLIES WHO SPOKE FAVORED U.S. IDEA, BUT HAD QUESTIONS ON TIMING AND RELATIONSHIP OF STUDY TO EARLIER U.S. SUGGESTION THAT CSCE PACE BE QUICKENED. U.S. REP NOTED THAT U.S. HAD NOT YET MADE UP ITS MIND AND WAS ONLY SUGGESTING THAT ALLIES REVIEW SUBJECT. NEXT DISCUSSION SCHEDULED FOR OCTOBER 18.

END SUMMARY.

1. IN OCTOBER 3 SPC, U.S. REP (PEREZ) OPENED DISCUSSION OF CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE WITH REVIEW OF ELEMENTS OF U.S. STUDY
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 05474 042038Z

PROPOSAL PRESENTED TO THE NAC ON OCTOBER 2 (REF A).

2. ASKING FOR ALLIED REACTIONS, SPC CHAIRMAN KASTL NOTED THAT ALLIES HAD DISCUSSED LINKAGE IN DEPTH FOUR OR FIVE YEARS AGO

AND A CONSENSUS EMERGED AGAINST DIRECT LINKAGE OF KEY DETENTE NEGOTIATIONS BUT WHICH RECOGNIZED THAT SUCH NEGOTIATIONS WERE LINKED BY AN "INCOMPLETE PARALLELISM". KASTL ASKED IF ALLIES WERE PREPARED TO STUDY CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE AT THIS LATE JUNCTURE IN THE GENEVA TALKS WHEN PROPOSALS HAVE ALREADY BEEN ADVANCED TO DEVELOP WESTERN POSITIONS THAT WOULD PERMIT A MORE EXPEDITIOUS CONCLUSION OF THE NEGOTIATIONS.

3. ON THE BASIS OF THOUGHTS WITHIN THE CANADIAN DELEGATION, BUT WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS FROM OTTAWA, CANADIAN REP (ROY) THOUGHT THE U.S. PROPOSAL WAS AN EXCELLENT IDEA. HE NOTED THAT ALLIES HAD STUDIED THIS SUBJECT IN THE PAST AND THAT THE SOVIETS HAD PREVIOUSLY REJECTED THE LINKAGE CONCEPT. GROMYKO'S REMARKS TO SECRETARY KISSINGER INDICATED THE SOVIET POSITION MIGHT HAVE CHANGED. ROY ASKED, HOWEVER, IF DIRECT LINKAGE MIGHT NOT MEAN PLACING ONE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS ON A HIGHER LEVEL OF PRIORITY THAN THE OTHER, ASKING A "PERHAPS INDISCREET AND PERSONAL QUESTION", ROY SAID IT HAD BEEN CANADA'S IMPRESSION THAT THE U.S. WANTED TO MOVE RAPIDLY TOWARD THE CONCLUSION OF CSCE. IS THE U.S. THEREFORE NOW PREPARED TO DELAY "FOR YEARS" FOR A SATISFACTORY CSCE OUTCOME?

4. U.S. REP NOTED THAT THE U.S. HAD NOT ADOPTED ANY POLICY ON LINKAGE BUT WAS ASKING ONLY FOR ALLIANCE CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUE.

5. NOTING TRADITIONAL DUTCH SUPPORT FOR "PARALLELISM", DUTCH REP (BUWALDA) SAID THAT HIS GOVERNMENT HAD ALWAYS CONSIDERED CSCE AND MBFR AS SOMEWHAT RELATED MATTERS THAT COULD BE PLAYED ONE AGAINST THE OTHER. HE QUESTIONED WHETHER SUCH PARALLELISM CONTINUED TO EXIST, HOWEVER, WITH THE GENEVA NEGOTIATIONS SO FAR ALONG AND WITH SERIOUS MBFR DISCUSSIONS "BARELY OFF THE GROUND". SPEAKING PERSONALLY, BUWALDA BELIEVED THE ONLY REMAINING LINKAGE TRADE-OFF POSSIBLE WOULD BE FOR THE WEST TO CONCEDE AN EARLY HIGH-LEVEL CSCE STATE III IN EXCHANGE FOR SOME MAJOR BREAKTHROUGH IN MBFR. SUCH CONCESSIONS WOULD
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 05474 042038Z

DOUBTLESS MEAN LOWERING WESTERN DEMANDS IN BASKET III THEREBY REQUIRING ALLIED GOVERNMENTS TO CONTEND WITH POPULAR AND PARLIAMENTARY REACTIONS TO THE ABANDONMENT OF MAJOR WESTERN GOALS. GIVEN THE ABOVE PROBLEMS, BUWALDA BELIEVED THAT HIS GOVERNMENT WOULD ACCEPT THE PROPOSED STUDY BUT WOULD NOT WANT TO MOVE TOO FAR TOO FAST.

6. UK REP (BAILES) SAID UK DELEGATION WAS INTERESTED IN THE U.S. PROPOSAL BUT SHARED DUTCH VIEW OF PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES IN LINKAGE. HMB CONTINUED TO ASSOCIATE ITSELF WITH RECENT POSITIONS TAKEN BY THE EC-9 ON CSCE TIMING AND CONTENT. BAILES ASKED IF THE U.S. WAS PROPOSING TO INTRODUCE ITS MBFR AIR MANPOWER PROPOSALS IN MBFR BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO LINK

CSCE AND MBFR.

7. FRENCH REP (NARICH) SAID THAT FRANCE WOULD BE PREPARED TO PARTICIPATE IN SPC DISCUSSIONS ON THIS ISSUE BUT FRANCE'S TRADITIONAL REJECTION OF LINKAGE HAD NOT CHANGED.

8. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS ON RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN U.S. MBFR PROPOSAL ON AIR MANPOWER AND LARGER CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE PEREZ NOTED THAT THE U.S. HAD ALREADY MADE A POLICY DECISION ON AIR MANPOWER, SUBJECT TO ALLIED AGREEMENT. U.S.HAD NOT, AS YET, HE REPEATED, ADOPTED A POLICY ONE WAY OR ANOTHER ON CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE.

9. IN CONCLUDING SUMMARY, KASTL REFERRED TO ABOVE POINTS AND NOTED THAT CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE QUESTION WAS RELATED TO GENERAL DETENTE DISCUSSION SOON TO BE UNDERTAKEN BY SPC (SEPTEL). IT WAS AGREED THAT NEXT SPC DISCUSSION OF LINKAGE ISSUE WOULD BE HELD ON OCTOBER 18 AFTER SPC RETURNS FROM TRIP TO U.S. MCAULIFFE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic DecapTIONing: Z
Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 04 OCT 1974
DecapTION Date: 28 MAY 2004
DecapTION Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO05474
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741091/abbryxoz.tel
Line Count: 129
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: (A) USNATO 5399 (B) STATE 214661
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20 MAR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAR 2002 by martinml>; APPROVED <21 MAY 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE-MBFR LINKAGE
TAGS: PFOR, NATO, UK, PARM
To: STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
SALT TWO GENEVA
GENEVA
MOSCOW
MBFR VIENNA
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

